


FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

Subject: Julius Rosenberg

File Number: 65-15348

Section: 37



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

FILE DESCRIPTION

NEW YORK FILE

SUBJECT JULIUS ROSENBERG

FILE NO. 65-15348

VOLUME NO. 37

SERIALS 1501 THRU 1559

File No: 65-15318Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: 11-77
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 1501 | 3.22.51 | HQ LAB REPORT TO NY | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-877 |
| 1502 | 3.23.51 | NY LETTER TO USA NY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1503 | 3.23.51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-993 |
| 1504 | 3.23.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1505 | 3.23.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1506 | 3.23.51 | NY LETTER TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-917 |
| 1507 | 3.23.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 6 | 6 | | |
| 1508 | 3.23.51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-958 |
| 1509 | 3.23.51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-952 |
| 1510 | 3.24.51 | LA TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-973 |
| 1511 | 3.24.51 | SF TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-982 |
| 1512 | 3.24.51 | SF TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-980 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No. 65-15318

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG

Date: 11-77
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 1513 | 3.24.51 | OC TELETYPE TO NY | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1514 | 3.24.51 | PG TELETYPE TO NY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1515 | 3.19.51 | LA REPORT TO HQ | 4 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-909 |
| 1516 | 3.26.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1517 | 3.26.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1518 | 3.26.51 | HQ TELETYPE TO NY | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-981 |
| 1519 | 3.26.51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1520 | 3.26.51 | OC TELETYPE TO NY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1521 | 3.26.51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-899 |
| 1522 | 3.26.51 | FX TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-900 |
| 1523 | 3.26.51 | SJS RADIOGRAM TO NY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1524 | 3.26.51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-914 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No: 65-15345Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: 11-77

(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 1525 | 3-26-51 | NY TELETYPE TO CG | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1526 | 3-26-51 | BS RADIOGRAM TO NY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1527 | 3-14-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 5 | 5 | | b7c |
| 1528 | 3-23-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | b7D |
| 1529 | 3-26-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1530 | 3-26-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1531 | 3-26-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1532 | 3-26-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-908 no comment 11-1-10-78 |
| 1533 | 3-26-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 9 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-965 |
| 1534 | UNDATED | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 8 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-929 no comment 10-23-78 |
| 1535 | 3-27-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-978 |
| 1536 | 3-27-51 | SE TELETYPE TO HQ | 3 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-1044 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No: 65-15348Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: 11-77
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 1537 | 3-27-51 | SF TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-966 not accurate from 10-20-78 |
| 1538 | 3-27-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-969 |
| 1539 | 3-27-51 | SF TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-972 not accurate from 10-20-78 |
| 1540 | 3-27-51 | LA TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-976 |
| 1541 | 3-27-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-974 not accurate from 10-20-78 |
| 1542 | 3-27-51 | NY LETTER TO HQ (CAPTIONED JOEL BARR) | 3 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-59453-89 not accurate from 10-20-78 |
| 1542 | 3-27-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 8 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-980 not accurate from 10-20-78 |
| 1544 | 3-27-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 10 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-931 |
| 1545 | 3-26-51 | PG REPORT TO HQ | 3 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-928 |
| 1546 | 3-28-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1547 | 3-28-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 3 | 2 | | |
| 1548 | 3-28-51 | NY LETTER TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-972 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No: 65-15348Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: 10-77
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 1549 | 3-28-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 1 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-979 |
| 1550 | 3-28-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 12 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-904 ad correct FTR 10-20-77 |
| 1551 | 3-28-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 8 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-903 |
| 1552 | 3-29-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1553 | 3-29-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1554 | 3-20-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 4 | 4 | | |
| 1555 | 3-23-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ, PG, CV ^{PR to 10/1/78} | 3 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-968 |
| 1556 | 3-26-51 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1557 | 3-28-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-951 ad correct FTR 10-20-77 |
| 1558 | 3-28-51 | NY LETTER TO USANY | 1 | 1 | | |
| 1559 | 3-29-51 | NY TELETYPE TO HQ | 2 | - | YES | see Bufile 65-58236-926 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1501 | 3/22/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1502 | 3/23/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1503 | 3/23/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1504 | 3/23/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1505 | 3/23/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1506 | 3/23/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1507 | 3/23/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1508 | 3/23/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1509 | 3/23/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1510 | 3/24/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1511 | 3/24/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1512 | 3/24/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1513 | 3/24/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1514 | 3/24/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1515 | 3/19/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |

File No. 65-15348

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|--|
| 1516 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1517 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1518 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1519 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1520 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1521 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1522 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1523 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1524 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1525 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1526 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1527 | 3/14/51 | (b) (7) (C) - Civil investigatory data of a third party is being withheld on page 3 in that the disclosure of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. |
| 1528 | 3/23/51 | (b) (7) (D) - This exemption was cited to protect the identity of a source to whom an expressed or implied promise of confidentiality has been given. |
| 1529 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1530 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |

File No. 65-15348

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1531 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1532 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1533 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1534 | undated | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1535 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1536 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1537 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1538 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1539 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1540 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1541 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1542 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1543 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |

File No. 65-15348

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1544 | 3/27/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1545 | 3/26/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1546 | 3/28/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1547 | 3/28/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1548 | 3/28/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1549 | 3/28/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1550 | 3/28/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1551 | 3/28/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1552 | 3/29/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1553 | 3/29/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1554 | 3/20/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1555 | 3/23/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1556 | 3/26/51 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 1557 | 3/28/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 1558 | 3/28/51 | No exemptions were cited. |

File No. 65-15348

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1559 | 3/29/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |

U. S. Department of Justice

(MATERIAL MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM OR ADDED TO THIS FILE)

FEDERAL BUREAU

of

INVESTIGATION

Bureau File Number

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE
DISSEMINATED TO ANY OUTSIDE AGENCY WITHOUT
THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE FBI AND IS NOT
TO BE MADE A PART OF ANY CORRESPONDENCE IN
ANY OTHER FILE WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION OF

See Nos.

CLASSIFICATION NO.

65

15348

Series

1501-1559

Volume Number

37

R/K

607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York

March 23, 1951

Hon. Irving H. Saypol
U.S. Attorney
U.S. Court House
New York 7, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Saypol:

There are enclosed for your information and assistance, the below listed reports in the Sobell case:

Report of Robert G. Jensen, 3/3/51 at Philadelphia
Report of Brenton S. Gordon, 3/1/51 at Boston
Report of Richard G. Fletcher 3/5/51 at San Francisco

Very truly yours,

ESMC

EDWARD SCHEIDT
Special Agent in Charge

65-15348
JWL:FM

65-15348-1502
JAH

65-15348-1502

3-23-51

Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R.

On Friday, March 23, at 9:30 A.M.,
I talked to Harry Gold, at his request,
at the Tombs Prison.

Gold said that on Thursday, March
22, he and David Greenglass were reading
the previous days (Wednesday's) testimony of
Rosenberg as carried in the New York
Times. Included in this testimony
was a question which Block asked
Rosenberg, substantially as follows: "Did
you ever take any course in nuclear
physics?" Rosenberg answered "No."

Gold said that Greenglass said
that Rosenberg was not telling the
truth, that in reality Rosenberg
had taken a course in nuclear
physics at Columbia University.
Course, according to Greenglass
taken during the night
sessions.

65-15347-1504

| | |
|--------------------|--------------|
| F. B. I. | |
| MAR 23 1951 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO Nebrn | FILE 117a |

Gold told Greenglass that the
letter should make this known to

the Bureau. But, Greenglass said
that he was not going to act as
an executioner.

Richard E. Brennan, S.A.

Memo

March 23, 1951

RE: Julius Rosenberg
Esp - R

Re lead D attempt identify and interview
Cy Fried having tel. no. 8-1702 (no exchange
given)

Section J obtained all Manhattan
listings beginning with 8 from telephone
co. and they are as follows:

BL 8-1702 Selma Cohn 1523 First Ave
Dig 8-1702 vacant line
FOU 8-1702 Edgar Liddings 142 W. 140th
LOR 8-1702 W. Alderman A. Plaza 2132 Amsterdam
MUR 8-1702 Wiley Frazer and Davenport Inc
41 E 50th St. and transferred
to VAN 6-0757

PL 8-1702 George E. Leone 212 E. 49
TEP 8-1702 Vacant line
WAD 8-1702 Sharon Stephonian 182 Bennett Ave

It is noted nothing was turned up with
Cy Fried.

S. A. Michael J. W. W. W. W.

65-15348

| | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO Horton | FILE W. W. |

New York, New York
March 23, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE-R

T-1, a Confidential Informant of unknown reliability, furnished the information set out below with reference to JULIUS ROSENBERG. T-1 has emphatically stated that he does not wish to testify in the court to any of this information but that he is furnishing it to the writer for whatever value it may be. T-1 should only be contacted by the writer and his identity should be protected in setting out information furnished by him in reports:

T-1 was interviewed by the writer on 3/22/51 and he stated that ROSENBERG had discussed the trial with him and that ROSENBERG had volunteered the following:

According to ROSENBERG, he and his wife ETHEL are the only two persons who are going to testify in the trial. ROSENBERG is considering the possibility of introducing his mother as a witness but no decision had been reached and, according to ROSENBERG, was only a remote possibility that his mother would be called.

ROSENBERG had previously considered calling MORTON SOBELL and a man named SCHREIER. Neither of these individuals, according to present plans, will be called as witnesses. ROSENBERG is contending that the whole thing does not exist and, therefore, there would be no logic in calling witnesses to testify to something he is completely denying.

ROSENBERG stated that the reason SOBELL was not going on the stand was that SOBELL'S attorney feels that the government has not established a prima facie case against him (SOBELL). The attorney, therefore, does not desire to leave SOBELL open to questioning by putting him on the stand in the ROSENBERG trial.

cc: NY 65-15387
65-15360
65-15380
65-15385
65-15392

AAC:LS
65-15348

65-15348-1507

| | |
|------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| MAY 1 1951 | |
| F. B. I. | |

Worton

MEMO
NY 65-15348

ROSENBERG stated that the reason SCHREIER is not being called is that because SCHREIER is an active member of the Communist Party and is a school teacher. SCHREIER'S attorney, a man named ATKINS, had conferred with NANNY BLOCH concerning this and they reached the opinion that SCHREIER would in all probability be asked if he were a Communist Party member. They were afraid that his answer would ruin him since if he refuses to answer on the grounds that he would be incriminating himself, such an answer is self-evident. If he answers that he is not a Communist Party member, he would be leaving himself open to a charge of perjury since they are quite sure that the FBI already knows he is a Communist Party member and that the FBI can prove it.

T-1 stated that JULIUS ROSENBERG, SCHREIER, ATKINS, and the doctor who was called on to testify as a government witness all live in Knickerbocker Village and are close friends.

ROSENBERG stated that there are two questions he would refuse to answer in court on the grounds that they would tend to incriminate him. The first was as to whether or not he is a member of the Communist Party. The second was as to whether or not he knows WILLIAM PERL.

In reference to the doctor's testimony, ROSENBERG is at a loss to explain why the doctor is testifying against him. He stated that he feels that the doctor could have escaped testifying by claiming an immunity privilege based on his relationship as a doctor to his patient. ROSENBERG is extremely upset and concerned over why the doctor has testified against him. ROSENBERG intends to just deny the doctor's testimony.

ROSENBERG feels that he will be asked to explain six trips which he took. Two of these trips were to Washington, D. C. and the other four were to Ithaca, New York, and Cleveland, Ohio. ROSENBERG advised that one of the trips to Washington was legitimate and can be easily explained. The other trip to Washington and all of his trips to Cleveland and Ithaca were concerned with Russian espionage and, therefore, if asked to explain them, he will have to think up a story concerning them.

MEMO
NY 65-15348

ROSENBERG intends to claim that his second trip to Washington was made to see Congressman DICKSTEIN (Ph.) and also to call at the office of his architect's union. (F.A.E.C.T.)

According to T-1, ROSENBERG has not offered what explanation he will give with reference to his trips to Ithaca and Cleveland.

ROSENBERG is also concerned over testifying as to the wrist watches which he and his wife received as a gift from the Russians. ROSENBERG stated that he lost his and that he purchased the one which he is now wearing and can easily explain that. With reference to the watch which his wife has, ROSENBERG will contend that he bought it from a salesman who called at his shop one day.

ROSENBERG stated that the Leica camera which he has was given him by the Russians and that he is greatly concerned that this camera might be found by the FBI and that he would be questioned about it. He stated that it would be difficult to explain where he got this camera and that he is at a loss to offer a reasonable story.

ROSENBERG stated that the SIDOROVICHs have an identical camera which was also given to them by the Russians. He stated that they also would be unable to explain where they got it. According to ROSENBERG, the SIDOROVICHs are key people in the Russian espionage setup and are about as important as he is. ROSENBERG stated that they were receiving information of the same caliber as he and that they were copying it with their Leica camera and sending it on to the Russians. ROSENBERG is not worried about the SIDOROVICHs testifying against him since to do so they would have to cut their own throats.

ROSENBERG stated that according to information given him by his attorney MANNY BLOCH on Tuesday, 3/20/51, a man had appeared at BLOCH'S office and had stated that he had been held as a material witness in a murder case in the Tombs. This individual claimed to have been in the Tombs at the time GREENGLASS was incarcerated there. This man spoke

MEMO

NY 65-15348

to the elder BLOCH and advised that he would swear to the fact that GREENGLASS was offered a deal by the government to testify as he is doing. This individual asked the elder BLOCH how much this information would be worth. According to ROSENBERG, the elder BLOCH conferred with MANNY BLOCH and the two of them advised this individual to relate his story to a disinterested attorney, to swear to the story and have it notarized, and then to return to them with it, at which time they would discuss the value of his information.

ROSENBERG stated that when he was first picked up by the FBI, he contacted his Russian accomplices and told them that he could not protect or cover WILLIAM PERL and the others who were involved with him. He told the Russians to have someone contact PERL in Cleveland and tell him to get out of the country. According to ROSENBERG, a Russian agent directly contacted VIVIAN GLASSMAN and asked her to bring \$2,000 to PERL who was to use it to leave the country.

PERL at that time was applying for a position with the Atomic Energy Commission in the field of aerodynamics. PERL was aware of the fact that he was being investigated by the FBI in connection with his application for this position and, therefore, when he was approached by VIVIAN GLASSMAN, he did not show any sign of recognition nor did he accept the \$2,000. VIVIAN GLASSMAN thereupon left Cleveland and returned to New York City with the money. ROSENBERG stated that he had no knowledge as to what disposition has been made of the money and he feels that she still has it.

T-1 advised that from the way in which ROSENBERG speaks of VIVIAN GLASSMAN, he feels that she is considered a top-level courier for the Russian Government. ROSENBERG told T-1 that VIVIAN GLASSMAN was the sweetheart of JOEL BARR, who is a courier for the Russians and who is now in Europe and probably Russia.

ROSENBERG stated that PERL is one of the top men in the field of aerodynamics and that should he have obtained a position with the government, he had been all set up to pass information learned by him on to the Russians.

MEMO

NY 65-15348

ROSENBERG stated that the woman in Ithaca, New York named SARANT was also an active Russian agent and that her husband is now in Europe.

T-1 stated that WILLIAM PERL, after his incarceration in prison, related to him the story about being approached by VIVIAN GLASSMAN and offered \$2,000 and that he, PERL, reported the entire incident to the FBI.

T-1 advised that he has also become an intimate confidant of ABRAHAM BROTHMAN. T-1 stated that BROTHMAN discussed the story of the \$40,000 in his account which HARRY GOLD furnished to the FBI. According to BROTHMAN, this \$40,000 was not given him by the Russians. He was paid the \$40,000 for working on and developing an explosive for the Palestine Government to be used in the fighting over there. The \$40,000 was paid to BROTHMAN by Haganah. BROTHMAN stated that he gave officials of Haganah a receipt for the \$40,000 but the receipt had a fictitious name on it. He stated that Haganah would deny ever having given him the \$40,000 since his work was in direct violation of the President's Embargo Act.

BROTHMAN is not concerned about being questioned in reference to the \$40,000 since he states that he has completely destroyed all the evidence reflecting his experiments with the explosives. BROTHMAN stated that the boxes and cartons which he had kept the materials for his explosives were thrown by him in Newtown (Ph.) Creek.

T-1 was asked to relate again his story concerning a money order being received from a BUD WHITE via bonded messenger. T-1 stated that this story was entirely true and that BLOCH wrote a letter thanking this alleged individual for his contribution to the defense of ROSENBERG and that the letter was returned to him marked "not known at this address". BLOCH has this letter in his possession and intends to use it as evidence to show source of funds for conducting the ROSENBERG trial if he is questioned concerning the origin of the money.

According to information furnished by ROSENBERG, the money was deposited in his, ROSENBERG'S, account the day

MEMO
NY 65-15348

after it was received by BLOCH. T-1 stated that if this money has not been deposited to ROSENBERG'S account, ROSENBERG has no knowledge of that fact.

T-1 stated that EUGENE DENNIS, just before leaving the Federal House of Detention, gave him a pen and pencil set and told him that he wished to further their relationship with each other. According to T-1, DENNIS stated that this pen and pencil set had originally been given to him by a member of the National Committee of the Communist Party.

T-1 advised that he is anxious to continue cooperating with the writer. He was advised that he would be contacted on 3/23/51.

ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA, SA

MR. SCHMIDT
 MR. WHELAN
 MR. HARGETT
 MR. COLLIER
 MR. COLLETT
 MR. CRANVILLE
 MR. ITALY
 MR. NESSAU
 MR. ANDREWS
 MR. SUPERVISOR
 MR. EAGAN
 MR. SULLIVAN
 MR. WALCH
 MR. WOHL
 CHIEF CLERK
 PROPERTY CLERK
 INVESTIGATOR

FBI OKLAHOMA CITY

3-24-51

5-52 PM CST

DPH

SAC NEW YORK CITY

U R G E N T

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ETAL, ESPIONAGE-R. REURTEL MAR. TWENTY THREE

ORIGINAL AMERICAN AIRLINES PASSENGER REFUND ACCOUNT CHECKS NUMBER

SEVEN EIGHT NINE NINE NAUGHT ONE AND SEVEN EIGHT NINE NINE NAUGHT TWO

ISSUED TO MORTON AND HELEN SODELL WERE FORWARDED TO BUREAU FOR HAND-

WRITING EXAMINATION BY LETTER DATED OCT. TEN, FIFTY. A COPY OF THIS

LETTER WAS SENT NYC. BUREAU HAS NEVER RETURNED ABOVE REFUND CHECKS TO

CC. MR. CHARLES N. OURSLER, AMERICAN AIRLINES, TULSA, OKLA., IS OUT OF

TOWN AND UNAVAILABLE. MR. V. J. LONG, ASSISTANT TREASURER, AMERICAN

AIRLINES, INC., NINE ONE NAUGHT S. BOSTON AVE., TULSA, OKLA., ADVISES

RETURN PORTION OF TICKET NUMBERS ONE TWO ONE EIGHT NXXXX NINE FOUR AND

ONE TWO ONE EIGHT NINE FIVE FORWARDED AMSD TO A. A. CARLSON, DIRECTOR

OF INSURANCE, AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., ONE HUNDRED PARK AVE., NYC, NY,

AND CAN BE OBTAINED FROM HIM. AMERICAN AIRLINES HAS NO RECORD OF RE-

TURN PORTION OF TICKET NUMBER ONE TWO ONE EIGHT NINE SIX EIGXXX EITHER

BEING USED OR BEING REFUNDED. GOING PORTION OF ALL THREE ABOVE TICKETS

HAVE NOT BEEN LOCATED IN CURRENT SEARCH WHICH IS CONTINUING. MR. KERN

MAILER, MGP.,

NEW YORK CITY TICKET OFFICE, AMERICAN AIRLINES, IS COMPETENT WITNESS,

END PAGE ONE...

65-15348-1573

MAR 24 1951

N. Y. C.

RECEIVED

FILE

PAGE TWO...

HOWEVER, SUGGESTED NEW YORK CITY CONTACT HIM TO BE CERTAIN HE IS
SUFFICIENTLY FAMILIAR WITH PASSENGER REFUND ACCOUNT CHECKS TO INTRODUCE
THEM INTO EVIDENCE. IF COMPETENT WITNESS TO INTRODUCE PASSENGER REFUND
ACCOUNT CHECKS NOT AVAILABLE AT NYC, WILL BE NECESSARY TO SUBPOENA MR.
V. J. LONG, ASSISTANT TREASURER, AMERICAN AIRLINES, TULSA, WHO WILL
DESIGNATE AN APPROPRIATE EMPLOYEE OF TREASURY DEPT., TULSA, TO APPEAR
AS WITNESS TO INTRODUCE THE REFUND CHECKS INTO EVIDENCE.

ERVCE

END

OK FBI NYC-JJK

B

W

| |
|------------------|
| MR. SCHEIDT |
| MR. WHELAN |
| MR. HARGETT |
| MR. COLLIER |
| MR. GYLESPIE |
| MR. GRANVILLE |
| MR. HEALY |
| MR. LEVVIS |
| MR. MARCHESSAULT |
| MR. McANDREWS |
| NIGHT SUPERVISOR |
| MR. RAGAN |
| MR. SULLIVAN |
| MR. WALSH |
| MR. WOHL |
| CHIEF CLERK |
| PROPERTY CLERK |
| TRAINING UNIT |

FBI PITTSBURGH 3-24-51 7-26 PM EST PL

SAC, NEW YORK DEFERRED

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ESP-R. RLURTEL TODAY. NO INDICATION WHY RE TEL SENT
PGH. ADIXXX ADVISE IF ANY ACTION DESIRED. IF NOT DISREGARD INSTANT
TEL.

SOU CY

END

OK FBI NYC JJM

V

65-15348-1514^{at}

| |
|-------------|
| F. B. I. |
| MAR 24 1951 |
| RECEIVED |

1/10/51

2/1/51

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice

March 26, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESP-R

Call From: USA Irving H. Saypol, 3/22/51

USA Saypol advised at the termination of Court on 3/22/51 he was approached by a reporter from a Jewish newspaper, one SCHAEFFER. This individual is probably identical with LOUIS SCHAEFFER, a feature writer for the Jewish daily "Forward".


Mr. Saypol said Mrs. Tessie Greenglass had telephoned SCHAEFFER to request the latter to visit her because she was aroused by the misstatements ROSENBERG was making on the stand and wanted an opportunity to contradict them.

Mr. Saypol stated he wished to interview Tessie Greenglass in his office on Saturday 3/24/51 between 11:00 A.M. and 12:00 Noon.

Arrangements were made through the writer for this interview on Saturday.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

REG: DM
65-15348




Memo -

Re: Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R

DR. L. JACOBS, dentist, 172 E. 4th St. NYC, on 3/23/51, advised as follows:
Mrs. Jessie Greenglass, 64 Sheriff St. NYC has been one of his patients for more than 30 years and has known David Greenglass & Ethel Rosenberg as patients all of their lives, but has known Julius ~~Rosenberg~~ Rosenberg about two years as a ~~patient~~ patient. He said ~~Re~~ Julius Rosenberg is very close-mouthed and hard to be friendly with. He had no idea that the Rosenbergs or Greenglasses are communists or espionage agents. He also treats Ruth Greenglass and the Prinz family. He was unable to recognize other photos of other persons in Rosenberg espionage network. He thought picture of Mike Sidorovitch is familiar but is unable to place it and the name is not familiar. He thinks that Julius & Ethel Rosenberg have not recommended any patients to him.

J. W. Lewis, S.A.

65-15348-1517



New York, New York
March 26, 1951

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep SA HENRY R. SPENDORE, Buffalo, 3/10/51.

ARTHUR HEDLER, Engineer, National Broadcasting Co., 106th and Park Ave. was interviewed by the writer on 3/15/51.

HEDLER advised he was formerly employed by the Jefferson-Travis Radio Co. in NYC. Also employed there as engineers were ROBERT STUDY and DAVID LEWIS. STUDY, LEWIS and HEDLER developed a device they called the "Radio Homing Control". They were attempting to secure a financial backer for this device and were told by JULIUS ROSENBERG that he had some friends who might be interested.

HEDLER explained that ROSENBERG was stationed at Jefferson-Travis by the U.S. Signal Corps. He would inspect the parts for the Corps and would report to the engineer any deficiency noted. Because of this arrangement HEDLER stated they were closely associated with the subject during working hours.

At ROSENBERG'S suggestion he, STUDY and LEWIS went with ROSENBERG to talk to his friends about sponsoring their device. He recalled this visit took place sometime during the late part of 1942 or early 1943.

HEDLER remembers they were driven to the shop by MILTON CHASIN, a junior inspector, under ROSENBERG and also seemingly a close associate of the subject's.

HEDLER recalls they were taken to a converted garage, containing some radio parts and equipment. This place was located on Bedford Ave, about 2 blocks below Ebbets Field and on the opposite side of the street. HEDLER was unable to recall the name of the concern visited.

Upon arrival they were introduced, by ROSENBERG, to two men whose names HEDLER was unable to recall. The homing device was explained to the 2 men who asked pointed and detailed questions regarding the intricacies of the mechanism. HEDLER said the device had not yet been patented so they were reluctant to divulge the innermost secrets of their invention.

cc-NY 100-99625

RIS:LEW
65-15348

65-15348-1519
F. B. I.
MAR 26 1951
N. Y. C.
INDEXED
FILED

MEMO
NY 65-15348

After a short discussion HEDLER stated they felt the two men were more interested in obtaining information about the invention than discussing the possibility of advancing funds for its development. They decided that ROSENBERG'S friends were not sincere so they terminated the discussion.

HEDLER stated that during his associations with the subject at Jefferson-Travis he never heard ROSENBERG say anything, or give any indication, that he adhered to the tenets of Communism.

HEDLER did state however, that ROSENBERG had several testers working under him, one of which he recalls was definitely a Communist. He recalled this person as being JOSEPH CICERESKA. HEDLER said CICERESKA continually argued in favor of Communism and openly advocated the Russian type government. HEDLER stated he never heard CICERESKA admit CP membership, but presumed that he was.

In this connection HEDLER said that ROSENBERG, who was CICERESKA'S superior should have reprimanded him for talking the way he did; however ROSENBERG never admonished CICERESKA for his rantings.

HEDLER stated he has not seen ROSENBERG since he terminated his employment with Jefferson-Travis, nor has he seen CICERESKA, but he recalls hearing that CICERESKA was employed by the Reeves Instrument Corp. in NYC. HEDLER advised he did not know the present whereabouts of JOSEPH SCHIDLIN, but the last he heard he was working for the Raytheon Corp. in NJ.

According to HEDLER, DAVID LEWIS is presently employed by the Smith-Meeker Co. in NYC. LEWIS resides in the vicinity of HEDLER'S home on Long Island and they maintain a close friendship. HEDLER stated he would contact LEWIS and between the two of them they would possibly arrive at the identities of ROSENBERG'S friends.

HEDLER called the writer later in the day to advise he had spoken with LEWIS who ~~recalled~~ the concern to which the subject took them was called the "Bedford Radio Co." LEWIS recalled one of the men was named _____ EPSTEIN and the other was LEO ALTSHULLER.

EPSTEIN is believed identical with WILTON EPSTEIN, Vice President of Coil Winders Inc., 61 Willoughby St, Bklyn, NY.

EPSTEIN was previously interviewed and the results reported.

REX I. SHRODER, SA

F I OKLAHOMA CITY
SAC NEW YORK CITY

6-26-51

9-16 PM CST

DDH

U R G E N T

ERLINS ROSENBERG, ETAL, ESP-R. RE NYTEL MAR: TWENTY FOUR.

LONG, ASST TREASURER AMER. AIRLINES, TULSA, OKLA., ADVISES ORIGINAL
TICKETS NUMBERED ONE TWO ONE EIGHT NINE FOUR, FIVE, AND SIX, ISSUED
TO SOEHLI FAMILY ON GOING PORTION OF TRIP TO MEXICO CITY LOCATED AND
FORWARDED ALSO TODAY TO A. A. CARLSON, DIRECTOR OF INSURANCE, AMER.
AIRLINES, ONE WINDFELL PARK AVE., NYC, NY. MR. KERN HAIER, NYNY NYC
TICKET OFFICE, AMER. AIRLINES, IS COMPETENT SUFFICIENT TO INTRODUCE TICKETS
THIRTY INTO EVIDENCE. EUC.

DRYCE

END

10-16 PM ON FBI NYC REC

100

- MR. SCOTT
- MR. WILSON
- MR. HACKETT
- MR. COLLIER
- MR. CHLESPIE
- MR. GRANVILLE
- MR. HEALY
- MR. LEVVIS
- MR. MARCHESSAULT
- MR. McANDREWS
- MR. NIGHT SUPERVISOR
- MR. EUGAN
- MR. SULLIVAN
- MR. WASH
- MR. WOLF
- CHIEF CLERK
- PROPERTY CLERK
- RECORDS UNIT

65-15348-1520

[Handwritten signature]

FBI RADIOGRAM

DATE: MARCH 26, 1951

NEW YORK

FROM: SAN JUAN

DEFERRED

JULIUS ROSENBERG ETAL ESP.R. ELIZABETH BENTLEY DEPARTING SAN JUAN, MARCH 27,
VIA PAA THROUGH DOMINICAN REPUBLIC, HAITI, AND CUBA RETURNING TO NEW
YORK CITY ON APRIL 3 NEXT.

*Info furnished to
Roy R. Lige 3/27/51*

W

| |
|------------------|
| MR. SCHEIDT |
| MR. WHELAN |
| MR. HARGETT |
| MR. COLLIER |
| MR. GILLESPIE |
| MR. GRANVILLE |
| MR. HEALY |
| MR. LEVVIS |
| MR. MARCHESSAULT |
| MR. MANNING |
| NIGHT SUPERVISOR |
| MR. BROWN |
| MR. SUTHER |
| MR. W. T. H. |
| MR. WOOL |
| CHIEF CLERK |
| PROPERTY CLERK |
| TRAINING UNIT |

at

65-15348-1523

| |
|---|
| F. B. I. |
| MAR 26 1951 |
| N. Y. C. |
| ROUTED TO <i>Mr. L. C. Preston</i> FILE |

CODE WORK: L C PRESTON
OPERATOR: L C PRESTON

TOR: 11:46 AM 3-26-51
TOD: 2:00 PM 3-26-51

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau,
it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic
systems.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

NEW YORK, NEW YORK
MARCH 26, 1951

Transmit the following Teletype message to: CHICAGO

URGENT

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ETAL, ESPIONAGE DASH R. CHECK RECORDS OF
U.S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT, BUREAU OF PUBLIC DEBT, FOR RECORD
OF ALL SAVINGS BONDS PURCHASED AND OR REDEEMED BY JULIUS
ROSENBERG AND ETHEL GREENGLASS ROSENBERG, RESIDING AT
TEN MONROE STREET, APARTMENT GE DASH ELEVEN, NYC. SUTEL.

SCHEIDT

WPK: JF (#6)
65-15348

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 437 M

Per UT

65-15348-1525

FBI RADIOGRAM

TO: NEW YORK
FROM: SAN JUAN

DATE: MARCH 26, 1951

DEFERRED

JULIUS ROSENBERG ETAL ESP.R. ELIZABETH BENTLEY DEPARTING SAN JUAN, MARCH 27.
VIA PAA THROUGH DOMINICAN REPUBLIC, HAITI, AND CUBA RETURNING TO NEW
YORK CITY ON APRIL 3 NEXT.

65-15348-1526

| | |
|-------------|------|
| F. B. I. | |
| MAR 26 1951 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO | FILE |

3.7

CODE WORK: L C PRESTON
OPERATOR: L C PRESTON

TOR: 11:46 AM 3-26-51
TOD: 2:00 PM 3-26-51

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

Memo

March 14, 1951

Re: Julius Rosenberg, et al
Esp-R.

Re memo of SA James P. Lee dated
March 14, 1951

at about 3:30 P.M. Howard Rushmore,
of the NY Journal American, furnished
additional information regarding Charles
Linda to SA James P. Lee.

Rushmore stated he had spoken
to Vince Hartnett of counterattacks again
and Hartnett said he had observed
ad in the Daily Worker, East Coast
Communist newspaper. These ads
set forth the information that CHARLES
Linda's Bond was to stay at
Communist Party functions. HARTNETT
assumed that Linda was a
Communist Party member.

N.Y.C.

FILED

NY

15341

The Jury Panel information concerning
Charles J. Duda was checked
again in 65-15348-1B 358 page 76.
It is indicated Duda resides at
98 PALISADE St, Dobbs Ferry, NY
and he is employed at 75 Main
St. Dobbs Ferry by the Davis
Lawrence Company as a bookkeeper.
Duda is a registered republican,
his wife's name is LAURA and
she is a registered republican.

The indices of the New York office were
checked again concerning CHARLES
J. DUDA. No record was located.

In addition the following other
spellings of the name Duda were
checked against the indices of the
New York office with negative
results.

CHARLES DUTA

CHARLES DUTTA

CHARLES DUTTE

CHARLES DUTE.

CHARLES Duddo

CHARLES Dudo

CHARLES Dudy

under the spelling CHARLES CARL
ADA case file [REDACTED] was
located. This case was captioned
CHARLES CARL Duda [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] + last report
was undetected on Sept 2, 1943
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Charles Carl Duda had been a caretaker
on Fanning Island. His background
indicates he was born Sept 9, 1900
at Etzshne, Germany. His wife's
name was LINA Duda.
His background tends to indicate
he is not identical with
juror Charles J. Duda.

also case file # 62-10594 captioned

65-15348

John CHARLES Duda, of 313
East 76th St. N.Y. 21, N.Y. information
contained in this file indicates
the individual is not identical
with James Charles J. Duda.

References were also located under
the following spellings:

C. Duddy 39-144-367

CHARLES Duddy 39-144-312

Mr Charles Duddy 65-2020-2387

CHARLES Duddy 100-0-59064

CHARLES Duddy 65-4171

CHARLES L. Duddy 65-4022-153, 152

All above references were reviewed
however information contained in the
above references do not indicate
positively or part of above spelled
names. Also information does
not indicate individuals could
be identical with James Charles
J. Duda.

his name is prepared in order
that SA Norton or Habington may
access information with USA
approval of this course of action is
desired.

If Mr. Saypol would agree,
Hartnett could be interviewed in an
attempt to locate the Daily Worker
edition Hartnett speaks of. Then
the appropriate musicians local
could be contacted for background
information regarding the Charles
Duda, musician that Hartnett
speaks of. This background information
may possibly definitely eliminate
this individual as being identical
with Junior Charles J. Duda.

Charles F. Silverthorn
SA.

65-15348


March 23, 1951

Memo -

Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R

[REDACTED] Manager, Public National Bank and Trust Co.,
Avenue C and 7th Street, New York City, made available the statement of
the Special checking account of Julius and Ethel Rosenberg. Examination
had previously been made of this account up to July 25, 1950. Subsequent to
that date ~~xxx~~ minor activity occurred in this account. Small payments
were made from this account up to September 5, 1950 and no deposit has been
made to this account since June 20, 1950. The present balance in this account
is \$7.25. The only activity in this account since September, 1950 has been
a monthly charge of 25¢ by the bank.

Harold F. Good
~~Secret~~
Special Agent (A)



memo

Re: Julius Rosenberg et al
Espionage - R

At 2 PM 3/26/51 SA J. J. McManis,

Western resident agency advised he received the following information from a local reporter:

About 5 AM 3/26/51 Sidney KANT, 32 Monroe St. NYC was pulled out of the Hudson River at South Nyack. and taken to Nyack Hospital from where he will be discharged 3/26/51. A N.Y. Times reporter making inquiries at 32 Monroe St. learned Kant is a friend of Julius Rosenberg.

Indices are negative on Sidney Kant.

J. H. Lewis

| | |
|------------------|------|
| 65-15348-1529 | |
| F. B. I. | |
| MAR 29 1951 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO 1/0 | FILE |

New York, New York
March 26, 1951

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

On 3/26/51 MR. IRVING MITCHELL FELT, 40 Wall St., New York City was interviewed by SAS JOSEPH J. PALGUTA and VINCENT J. CAMILL. MR. FELT stated that he was president of Jefferson-Travis Co., 22nd St., & 2nd Ave, New York City from approximately 1941 until 1943. During this time, according to FELT, JULIUS ROSENBERG was assigned to the plant as a Signal Corp inspector which was engaged in the manufacturing of two way radio equipment, walkie-talkie, electrical installation equipment for the U.S. Army and U.S. Air Force. FELT said that ROSENBERG only spent a portion of his time at the plant when the company first started production and that as production increased, ROSENBERG spent more time at the plant and eventually was putting full time at the plant. FELT stated that he was positive that ROSENBERG did not receive any remunerations from the company in any form including money, personal gifts, or household furniture. FELT stated that ROSENBERG definitely did not receive a breakfront from the company. He pointed out that it was the company's policy not to give any remuneration to government inspectors at the plant. He also pointed out that this policy was strictly adhered to.

FELT stated that one EDGAR ALLEN ELLINGER, JR. was vice-president of the Jefferson Travis Co. during the time that JULIUS ROSENBERG was employed at the plant as a Signal Corp inspector. He said that one JOHN T. FILGATE also served as vice-president of that company for a period in 1944. However he was not sure whether ROSENBERG was still assigned to the plant at that time. FELT stated that ELLINGER is presently residing at SADDLE ROCK RANCH, SEDONA, ARIZONA. He also stated that JOHN T. FILGATE is a retired Army Colonel and that he resided in STANFORD, CONN. at the time he was vice-president of the Jefferson-Travis Co.. He said he did not know where FILGATE presently resides or where he is employed.

FELT said that he has never heard that either ELLINGER or FILGATE gave ROSENBERG a present of any kind and specifically said that he had never heard of ELLINGER or FILGATE giving ROSENBERG a breakfront. FELT commented that he thought it very unlikely that either of these men would give ROSENBERG a present since they did not associate with him socially and that their relationship with him would have been a strictly business relationship at the plant.

NY 65-15348

65-15348-1530

FBI - NEW YORK
MAR 26 1951

71-100-1

MEMO
NY 65-15348

FELT stated the following persons were officers of the Jefferson-
Travis Co., at the time JULIUS ROSENBERG was assigned at the plant:

EDWARD HEFELE
JUSTIN C. HARRIS
MURRAY BARON

CHIEF ENGINEER
TREASURER
LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL

FELT stated that MURRAY BARON is chairman of New York County Liberal
Party and that he has offices at 11 West 42 St., New York, New York,
Telephone PE 6-5360.

JOSEPH J. PALCUTA, SA

New York, New York
March 26, 1951

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

On 3/26/51 MR. PETER A. PETROFF, 127-129 Water Street, New York City, was interviewed by SAS VINCENT J. CAHILL and JOSEPH J. PALGUTA. PETROFF said that ROSENBERG was assigned as a U.S. Army Signal Corp Inspector to his plant periodically from 1942 to 1944. PETROFF stated that JULIUS ROSENBERG during that period was very friendly with one DONOMIC LIBERTORE who was then the Chief Signal Corp Inspector assigned to PETROFF'S plant. LIBERTORE according to MR. PETROFF is presently assigned to the REEVES INSTRUMENT CO., 215 E. 91 St., New York City, as an aircorp inspector of equipment.

PETROFF said that JULIUS ROSENBERG WOULD visit his plant from time to time during 1942-1944 although ROSENBERG was not assigned to the plant at the particular of his visits. ROSENBERG would make inquiries from him & his employees of the particular work being done at the plant at that time although he had no connection with the work being done at that time. He recalled ROSENBERG specially inquiring about motors being built at the plant in connection with radio sets SCR-545-A and SCR-584. PETROFF said that ROSENBERG asked him if there were blueprints available of the above motors. PETROFF said that he could not understand the purpose of JULIUS ROSENBERG inquiring about the above described motor when he ostensible had no connection with these motors.

PETROFF explained that his company had a sub-contract to merely assemble the parts of a remote data indicator which was used in connection with the above described radio sets. He stated that all of the parts were supplied to him; that he was merely responsible for their assembling and was not in any way concerned with the manufacturing of the parts.

PETROFF stated that in about 1946 JULIUS ROSENBERG came to him and stated that he had been dismissed from his employment with the U.S. Army Signal Corp and asked PETROFF if he could do something to assist him in regaining his position with the Signal Corp. PETROFF

NY 65-15348

65-15348-1531

| |
|----------------|
| FBI - NEW YORK |
| MAR 26 1951 |
| Noted |

MEMO

NY 65-15348

said that ROSENBERG told him that he was dismissed by the Signal Corp because he was accused of being in subversive activities. PETROFF said he told ROSENBERG he was unable to do anything for him in that regard.

PETROFF said that ROSENBERG came to him again in about 1947. He told him that he had his own shop and asked if PETROFF would give him some work to do on a sub-contract basis. PETROFF said he was unable to give ROSENBERG any work and that was the last time he saw ROSENBERG.

MRS. JOSEPHINE SAQUIE, Assistant to PETER A. PETROFF, Manufacturing Contractors, 127 Water Street, New York City, for the past fourteen years, was interviewed by SAS JOSEPH J. PALGUTA and VINCENT J. CAHILL on 3/26/51. She stated that in her opinion she first met ROSENBERG in 1941 when the company was located at 128 Water Street, New York City when the company was engaged in manufacturing of small plugs, under contract with the Signal Corp. She stated that ROSENBERG was a Signal Corp inspector assigned to PETROFF'S plant on that contract. She stated that the company had great difficulty with ROSENBERG because in her opinion he deliberately delayed approval of the plug for shipment thereby causing the company great inconvenience.

MRS. SAQUIE stated that in about 1946, JULIUS ROSENBERG visited PETROFF'S plant and at that time explained to her that he was dismissed FROM his job with the Signal Corp because of anti-Semitic reasons. She said that she told ROSENBERG that the Signal Corp did not dismiss people without good reasons. ROSENBERG then told her that he was dismissed from his position because of charges that he was engaged in subversive activities.

SAQUIE stated that in about July 1950 after reading of the ROSENBERG arrest she was at Long Beach, New York and spoke to some unidentified individual who told her that he was a classmate of ROSENBERG'S at CCHY and that ROSENBERG had approached him in their senior year to join some organization or society. This individual also told MRS. SAQUIE that he almost became involved as a result. She was unable to furnish any information about this unidentified schoolmate but believed that he was a family, vacationing at Long Beach. She said that if she heard this unidentified individual's name, she may recall it and possibly may be able to identify a photo of that person.

of

JOSEPH J. PALGUTA, S A

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice

March 28, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESP-R

Call To: Mr. Hennrich, Bureau, 3:40 P.M., 3/27/51

I advised the defense had just rested in the Rosenberg case with the testimony of ETHEL ROSENBERG and that MORTON SOBELL was not taking the stand.


Call To: Supervisor Joseph Meehan, Bureau, 5:00 P.M. 3/27/51

In absence of Mr. Hennrich I advised that the rebuttal witnesses had completed their testimony and that the case had rested and summations would be made on 3/28/51.

I pointed out that the Government had called Mrs. Helen R. Pagano, secretary in Mr. Rogge's office, as a rebuttal witness, Mrs. Evelyn Cox, maid in ROSENBERG home and Ben Schneider of 99 Park Row, photographer. These three individuals were the only rebuttal witnesses.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

RRG:IM
65-15348



Julius Rosenberg was
Espionage - R

Agents thereafter contacted Ben Schneider, 99 Park Row, N.Y.C. Schneider is a notary public and operates a passport and identification photo studio at this address. He was shown ~~an~~ "mug" photographs of Julius Rosenberg and Ethel Rosenberg. After viewing the photograph of Julius he advised that he recognized it as a photograph of an individual who had come to his store, late ~~1943~~ ⁶⁵⁻¹²³⁴⁸ ~~1943~~ or early 1944.

65-15348-1547

Spring of last
F. B. I.
2
N. Y. C.
ROUTED TO
Norton
FILE
Wm

summer of 1950 for the purpose of having passport photographs taken. Schneider was unable to recognize Ethel Rosenberg from the "mug" photographs but stated that when Rosenberg came to his store he was accompanied by a woman and two children.

Schneider stated this matter was particularly clear in his mind because of the fact that the Rosenbergs came to his shop on a Saturday.

He said that he seldom has any business on Saturdays and his chief reason for coming in is to prepare his chemicals for the following week. On the day in question he had been undecided as to whether or not he should come in as it was quite warm and during the summer he generally wouldn't go in. He was therefore pleased with the fact that Rosenberg had come in and had given him an unusually large order for approximately 12 pictures each of his wife & himself and 6 each of the two children. To the best of his recollection he charged Rosenberg \$50 for this work. Schneiders usual rate for photos are 3 for one dollar.

He recalled that while Rosenberg was in his studio he had mentioned that he and his family were going to France to claim some property

which his wife had inherited.
Schneider further recalled that the children
were with Rosenberg were very unruly and
"into everything." In spite of this the subject
did nothing to restrain them.

At the conclusion of this interview U.S. Attorney
Saypol was advised concerning the information received
from Schneider and at Mr. Saypol's request,
Schneider was brought to the courtroom where
Rosenberg was then appearing on the witness stand.
After observing Rosenberg on the stand Schneider
positively identified him as the man who had
visited his studio.

On 3-27-51 Schneider again entered the court-
room where Mrs. Ethel Rosenberg was ^{then} appearing
on the witness stand. After observing her he
stated that she was the woman who had
accompanied Rosenberg into his studio.
He was also given an opportunity to view
snapshot photos of the Rosenberg children.
He stated that they "looked familiar" but
he was unable to positively state they were
the same children as those to which he had referred.

Lester D. Gallaher S.G.

MR. SCHEIDT
 MR. WHELAN
 MR. BARGETT
 MR. COLLIER
 MR. GILLETTE
 MR. GRANVILLE
 MR. HOLLY
 MR. LEVVIS
 MR. MACCHESAULT
 MR. McINTOSH
 NIGHT SUPERVISOR
 MR. RAGAN
 MR. SULLIVAN
 MR. WALKER
 MR. WOOL
 CHIEF CLERK
 PROPERTY CLERK
 TRAINING UNIT

New York, N. Y.
3/29/51

MEMO


Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESP. R

I called Mr. Carl Hennrich, Bureau, at 11:30 A. M. today and advised him that defendants, JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG; and Morton Sobell would be sentenced 4/5/51 at 10:30 A. M. I advised him Judge Irving Kaufman had stated this was a just verdict and he complimented the FBI on its usual efficient investigation of the case, stating that they performed a splendid job in the true tradition of the FBI. I said that United States Attorney Irving Saypol complimented the Attorney General, the United States Attorney's staff, Mr. Hoover and the FBI. He paid tribute to the work of the men and women of the FBI, stating that the excellence of their work could be expressed only in superlatives. They are not a police force, but an investigative agency; and their eternal vigilance, pervading, ceaseless, untiring efforts resulted in bringing this case to prosecution. He also advised that Mr. BLOCH had extended his appreciation to the FBI for its courtesies. He was satisfied that the Jury deliberated carefully. I pointed out that the defendants accepted the verdict in stoic fashion.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

RRG:MFB
100-15348

CC: NY File 100-37158



MR. SCHEIDT
 MR. WHELAN
 MR. BARGETT
 MR. COLLIER
 MR. GILLESPIE
 MR. GRANVILLE
 MR. H. L. T.
 MR. J. E. M.
 MR. J. M. STESSAULT
 MR. J. E. M. 1948
 NIGHT SUPERVISOR
 MR. H. L. T.
 MR. S. J. H. A. N.
 MR. W. L. H.
 MR. M. C. T.
 CHIEF CLERK
 PROPERTY CLERK
 TRAINING UNIT

New York, N. Y.
 3/29/51

MEMO

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL
 ESPIONAGE - R

I called Mr. Carl Hennrich, Bureau, at 11:01 A. M.,
 and advised him the Jury had just returned a verdict of Guilty
 as of 11:00 A. M. for all defendants, i.e., ETHEL and JULIUS
 ROSENBERG; and MORTON SOBELL.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

RRG:MFB

CC: NY File entitled MORTON SOBELL; ESP. R.

65-15348-153
 FBI - NEW YORK
 MAR 29 1951

NEW YORK, NEW YORK
MARCH 20, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Re memorandums of SA ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA,
at New York, dated February 17 and February 20, 1951.

JEROME EUGENE TARTAKOW was interviewed at the Federal House of Detention in the Office of Warden E.E. THOMPSON on March 19, 1951, at which time he advised the writer that his personal feelings have changed since the last interview, and that he has become somewhat adjusted to the sudden loss of his son. He advised that he still has personal problems and that his wife has refused to come to New York City. TARTAKOW intimated that he and his wife would probably be separated and divorced upon his release from prison. He stated that upon his release, he expects to go to work for his sister, who operates a small photography studio and shop in the Bronx.

TARTAKOW was very congenial toward the writer and he stated that he is more or less resigned to his fate. He stated that as of that date, he had only 83 more days to serve on his time and that he had little or no hope of getting out sooner. TARTAKOW advised that his mother has been fighting to get the detainer against him in courts removed and that she has been promised it would be done but that to date it has not been accomplished.

TARTAKOW advised that with reference to JULIUS ROSENBERG, he would be glad to furnish the writer with any information requested of him. He

AAC:AS
65-15348

66-15348

| | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| F. B. I. | |
| 65-15348-1554 | |
| MAR 21 1951 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO Horton | FILED 131 |

MEMO

NY 65-15348

stated that he would do this on a personal basis only and that he was not requesting any consideration or compensation for it. He also stated, however, that he absolutely does not wish to testify in court to any information he may give.

TARTAKOW stated that if he is going to be called to testify to information he has already furnished, he does not desire to furnish any more. He explained his wishes in this respect by saying that first, he does not care to be publicly acclaimed as an informant with sordid individuals such as ROSENBERG, and secondly, that at the time of his son's death, inmates of the prison who are friendly to the ROSENBERG cause and who know him to be a friend of ROSENBERG, had given him or loaned him \$700.00 which he used as expenses for himself and a prison guard to fly out to his son's funeral in Phoenix, Arizona. TARTAKOW stated that he intends to some day repay all of this money and does not wish the people who befriended him in time of need to know that he had been furnishing information concerning ROSENBERG all along.

TARTAKOW stated that he now sleeps in the same cell with ROSENBERG and that ROSENBERG discusses every aspect of the trial with him. He furnished the following information with respect to ROSENBERG and the trial:

"ROSENBERG at the beginning of the trial appeared to be in good spirits and was self-confident although he has never assumed that he would be acquitted. During the last few days, however, ROSENBERG has become depressed and has said that should he receive the death penalty, both he and his wife will go to the chair without uttering a word. ROSENBERG is fanatically devoted to the cause of Communism and is prepared to die for it.

MEMO

NY 65-15348

"With reference to the trial, both ROSENBERG and his wife intend to testify and their defense is going to be based on the fact that GREENGLASS and his wife, HARRY GOLD, and ANATOLI A. YAKOVLEV are spies and Communist agents. ROSENBERG and his wife will contend, however, that because of the acquaintanceship between these people and themselves, these people are trying to use them as fall guys and are unjustly implicating them.

"ROSENBERG will contend that GREENGLASS, GOLD, and YAKOVLEV are implicating him in order to keep from exposing the true identity of whoever they contact as a Russian agent. With reference to ROSENBERG'S doctor, ROSENBERG will maintain that the doctor's testimony is false and that he has never contacted the doctor by telephone. ROSENBERG will claim that he had a personal interview only with the doctor and that at that time there was a witness present named SHIRER (ph), who will be called as a defense witness.

"ROSENBERG swears to TARTAKOW and ABRAHAM BROTHMAN that he is not acquainted with ANATOLI YAKOVLEV. In this connection, it is noted that TARTAKOW advised that he feels ROSENBERG is telling the truth. According to ROSENBERG, he has never seen or met YAKOVLEV. He claims that in reality, he furnished the information to two Russians named ALEX and HENRY, instead of to YAKOVLEV as contended."

TARTAKOW advised that ROSENBERG has confided many things to him during the past few weeks, among which was the story in detail of how he, (ROSENBERG) had stolen the proximity fuse. ROSENBERG also told TARTAKOW that at the time he was first questioned by FBI agents at his home, he had \$7000.00 in cash and a Leica camera secreted in the apartment. According to ROSENBERG, he refused to give the agents consent to search and that evening upon his return to the

MEMO

NY 65-15348

apartment, he took the \$7000.00 and the Leica camera and gave them to his wife, ETHEL. ROSENBERG had the \$7000.00 hidden in his son's victrola or record box which was on the floor in the child's den. ETHEL ROSENBERG removed these two items from the apartment the next morning in a brown paper shopping bag and brought them to the apartment of a Communist Party member, who also lives in Knickerbocker Village. According to TARTAKOW, this individual is not aware of the contents of the brown paper shopping bag and is merely safeguarding it for the ROSENBERGS until they return to claim it.

In the interest of discretion, the interview was terminated by the writer at this time since TARTAKOW had left the presence of ROSENBERG to return to the Warden's Office. It was not deemed advisable to detain TARTAKOW for too long a period of time since he might have difficulty in explaining his absence. He was advised that he would be recontacted, but only on the days and during the hours in which ROSENBERG would be in court. He agreed that this would be the most advantageous arrangement and stated that he will cooperate fully with the writer in any manner requested of him.

ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA
Special Agent

New York, New York
March 26, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Rememo of SA ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA, 3/23/51, with reference to interviews with JEROME TARTAKOW at the Federal House of Detention on March 22 and 23, 1951.

Contacts with TARTAKOW are being made on a personal basis between the writer and TARTAKOW, and no promise of remuneration or any other type of consideration has been given to TARTAKOW. TARTAKOW has, however, indicated to the writer that he would like some consideration for the information he is furnishing should that information be of any value. In this connection, it is noted that he has a short period of time to serve remaining and that with or without any assistance he will be discharged from the Federal House on or about June 7, 1951.

TARTAKOW has consented to furnish information to the best of his ability, and since information furnished by him to date has proven reliable and accurate, it was deemed advisable to maintain contact with him. He has furnished pertinent information with reference to the ROSENBERG trial.

He also is in a position to furnish information concerning all of ROSENBERG's activities and associates, and he is closely acquainted with ABRAHAM BROTHMAN and EUGENE DENNIS. He also became intimately acquainted with WILLIAM PERL during the four days in which PERL was held at the Federal House of Detention.

TARTAKOW is a capable and intelligent informant. If his reliability is proven, the possibility exists that he may be utilized further. There is no question or doubt of his contacts with the above-mentioned individuals, and there is no doubt of the fact that information furnished by him came directly from them.

| |
|---------------|
| FILED |
| MAR 29 1951 |
| 65-15348-1556 |
| N. Y. C. |

MEMO:

NY 65-15348

Information furnished by TARTAKOW with reference to any of the subjects mentioned herein will be set out in memorandum form, and he will be referred to by a T symbol in order to protect his identity.

He has emphatically stated that he does not wish to testify in court as to the information furnished by him. He, therefore, is being interviewed for whatever intelligent value his information may have rather than for use as testimony.

In view of the above, should it be felt that contacts with TARTAKOW should be maintained subsequent to the ROSENBERG trial, it is requested that some consideration be given to offer him some recompense. It is felt that TARTAKOW will be more anxious to cooperate should he feel that he would get some personal reward for his trouble.

ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA, SA

65-15348

607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York.

March 28, 1951

Honorable Irving H. Saypol
United States Attorney
Southern District of New York
U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York


RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG; ET AL

My dear Mr. Saypol:

In connection with instant trial, several letters have been received by United States District Judge Irving R. Kaufman, Southern District of New York. The writers of these communications are Max Schwartz, Margit Weeks, Peter Seabrook, Nadja Buckley and an individual whose name appears to be E. Walters.

These letters were reviewed by Assistant United States Attorney John M. Foley on March 15, 1951 and March 20, 1951, and he declined prosecution in view of the fact that none of these letters were a violation of the Federal law.

Sincerely yours,


EDWARD SCHEIDT
Special Agent in Charge

cc: (Margit Weeks)